# Passenger Flow Modeling and Simulation in Transit Stations

FINAL REPORT February, 2022

Submitted by:

*Xiang Liu, Ph.D.* Associate Professor Rutgers, The State University Department of Civil & Environmental Eng. 500 Bartholomew Road Piscataway, NJ, 08854

## Yadi Zhu, Ph.D.

Postdoctoral Research associate Rutgers, The State University CAIT 100 Brett Road Piscataway, NJ 0885

External Project Manager Brad Mason, Director, Capital Resiliency and Continuity NJ Transit One Penn Plaza East Newark, NJ 07105

## In cooperation with

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey And New Jersey Transit And U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

## **Disclaimer Statement**

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the information presented herein. This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation, University Transportation Centers Program, in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

The Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation (CAIT) is a Regional UTC Consortium led by Rutgers, The State University. Members of the consortium are Atlantic Cape Community College, Columbia University, Cornell University, New Jersey Institute of Technology, Polytechnic University of Puerto Rico, Princeton University, Rowan University, SUNY - Farmingdale State College, and SUNY - University at Buffalo. The Center is funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation.

| 1. Report No.<br>CAIT-UTC-REG 26   | 2. Government Accessio  | n No.   | 3. Recipient's Catalog No   |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 4. Title and Subtitle  | 5. Report Date  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Passenger Flow Modeling an   | d Simulation ir   | 1 I   | February, 2022  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Transit Stations   |   |   | 6. Performing Organizatio   | on Code   |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAIT/Rutgers  | University  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Author(s)   |   |   | 8. Performing Organization  | on Report No.   |  |  |  |  |
| Xiang Liu https://orcid.org/   | 0000-0002-4348  | 3-7432  | CAIT-UTC-RE   | G 26  |  |  |  |  |
| Yadi Zhu https://orcid.org/  | 0000-0003-4906  | -5916   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Performing Organization Name and Address  |   |   | 10. Work Unit No.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Center for Advanced Infrastruc   | ture and Transpo  | ortation  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Rutgers, The State University of   | f New Jersey  |   | 11. Contract or Grant No.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 100 Brett Road, Piscataway, NJ   | 08854   |   | 69A3551847102   | 2   |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address   |   |   | 13. Type of Report and Pe   | eriod Covered   |  |  |  |  |
| center for Advanced Infrastr   | ucture and Trai   | nsportation   | Final Report  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Rutgers, The State University  | of New Jersey   |   | 11/01/2019-10   | /31/2020  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 Brett Road, Piscataway, N  | NJ 08854  |   | 14. Sponsoring Agency C   | ode   |  |  |  |  |
| 15. Supplementary Notes  |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Department of Transportat   | ion/OST-R   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Washington, DC 20590-0001  |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Abstract   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Abstract   | s kou aroa of stud  | y for transit ago   | acies to maximize c   | porational  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i  | s key area of study   | y for transit age   | ncies to maximize o   | operational   |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>foatures of ground analytics. This st  | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume  | y for transit ager<br>, crowd density   | ncies to maximize o<br>and walking speed  | operational<br>l are key  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>features of crowd analytics. This st   | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge   | y for transit age<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi  | ncies to maximize c<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al   | operational<br>l are key<br>l)-based crowd  |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>16.</sup> Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>features of crowd analytics. This st<br>analytics model framework for rail  | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, b  | y for transit agen<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi<br>y analyzing higl   | ncies to maximize o<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vio  | operational<br>l are key<br>I)-based crowd<br>deo data. We  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>features of crowd analytics. This st<br>analytics model framework for rail<br>propose a generalized triple-layer   | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, b<br>AI-aided methodo  | y for transit ages<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi<br>y analyzing higl<br>logical framewo  | ncies to maximize o<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vio<br>ork (named AI-Crow  | operational<br>l are key<br>l)-based crowd<br>deo data. We<br>wd) for   |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>features of crowd analytics. This st<br>analytics model framework for rail<br>propose a generalized triple-layer<br>calculating the flow volume, crowd   | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, by<br>AI-aided methodo<br>d density and walk   | y for transit ages<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi<br>y analyzing higl<br>logical framewo<br>king speed. For t   | ncies to maximize c<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vid<br>ork (named AI-Crow<br>he pedestrian deter   | operational<br>l are key<br>l)-based crowd<br>deo data. We<br>wd) for<br>ction and  |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>16.</sup> Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>features of crowd analytics. This st<br>analytics model framework for rail<br>propose a generalized triple-layer<br>calculating the flow volume, crowd<br>tracking layer, the You Only Look   | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, by<br>AI-aided methodo<br>d density and walk<br>Once (YOLO) and  | y for transit agen<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi<br>y analyzing higl<br>logical framewo<br>king speed. For t<br>Simple Online  | ncies to maximize o<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vid<br>ork (named AI-Crow<br>he pedestrian deter<br>and Realtime Track   | operational<br>l are key<br>I)-based crowd<br>deo data. We<br>wd) for<br>ction and<br>cing with a   |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>features of crowd analytics. This st<br>analytics model framework for rail<br>propose a generalized triple-layer<br>calculating the flow volume, crowd<br>tracking layer, the You Only Look<br>Deep Association Metric (Deep SO  | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, b<br>AI-aided methodo<br>I density and walk<br>Once (YOLO) and<br>RT) are integrated   | y for transit agen<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi<br>y analyzing higl<br>logical framewo<br>king speed. For t<br>Simple Online a<br>to detect and tr  | ncies to maximize of<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vio<br>prk (named Al-Crow<br>he pedestrian detec<br>and Realtime Track<br>ack the dynamic po  | operational<br>l are key<br>l)-based crowd<br>deo data. We<br>wd) for<br>ction and<br>ting with a<br>position of each   |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>16.</sup> Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>features of crowd analytics. This st<br>analytics model framework for rail<br>propose a generalized triple-layer<br>calculating the flow volume, crowd<br>tracking layer, the You Only Look<br>Deep Association Metric (Deep SO<br>individual. Then, we adjust the ori  | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, b<br>AI-aided methodo<br>d density and walk<br>Once (YOLO) and<br>RT) are integrated<br>ginal image from t   | y for transit ages<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi<br>y analyzing hig<br>logical framewo<br>king speed. For t<br>Simple Online<br>to detect and tr<br>che camera to re   | ncies to maximize of<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vid<br>ork (named AI-Crow<br>he pedestrian detec<br>and Realtime Track<br>ack the dynamic po<br>al scale in the came  | operational<br>I are key<br>I)-based crowd<br>deo data. We<br>wd) for<br>ction and<br>cing with a<br>position of each<br>era calibration  |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>16.</sup> Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>features of crowd analytics. This st<br>analytics model framework for rail<br>propose a generalized triple-layer<br>calculating the flow volume, crowd<br>tracking layer, the You Only Look<br>Deep Association Metric (Deep SO<br>individual. Then, we adjust the ori<br>layer. Next, the calibrated results u   | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, b<br>AI-aided methodo<br>d density and walk<br>Once (YOLO) and<br>RT) are integrated<br>ginal image from t<br>sed by the metric  | y for transit ages<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi<br>y analyzing hig<br>logical framewo<br>king speed. For t<br>Simple Online<br>to detect and tr<br>che camera to re<br>calculation laye   | ncies to maximize of<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vid<br>ork (named AI-Crow<br>he pedestrian detec<br>and Realtime Track<br>ack the dynamic po<br>al scale in the came<br>r to implement a co   | operational<br>I are key<br>I)-based crowd<br>deo data. We<br>wd) for<br>ction and<br>cing with a<br>osition of each<br>ora calibration<br>omprehensive   |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>16.</sup> Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>features of crowd analytics. This st<br>analytics model framework for rail<br>propose a generalized triple-layer<br>calculating the flow volume, crowd<br>tracking layer, the You Only Look<br>Deep Association Metric (Deep SO<br>individual. Then, we adjust the ori<br>layer. Next, the calibrated results u<br>calculation of crowd metrics. Seven  | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, by<br>AI-aided methodo<br>d density and walk<br>Once (YOLO) and<br>RT) are integrated<br>ginal image from t<br>sed by the metric<br>al video records f   | y for transit agen<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi<br>y analyzing higl<br>logical framewo<br>king speed. For t<br>Simple Online a<br>to detect and tr<br>the camera to re<br>calculation laye<br>rom stair and ha  | ncies to maximize of<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vid<br>ork (named AI-Crow<br>he pedestrian detec<br>and Realtime Track<br>ack the dynamic po<br>al scale in the came<br>r to implement a co<br>llway scenarios in a   | operational<br>d are key<br>()-based crowd<br>deo data. We<br>wd) for<br>ction and<br>cing with a<br>osition of each<br>era calibration<br>omprehensive<br>a major rail   |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>16.</sup> Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>features of crowd analytics. This st<br>analytics model framework for rail<br>propose a generalized triple-layer<br>calculating the flow volume, crowd<br>tracking layer, the You Only Look<br>Deep Association Metric (Deep SO<br>individual. Then, we adjust the ori<br>layer. Next, the calibrated results u<br>calculation of crowd metrics. Sever<br>transit station in China are used to  | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, b<br>AI-aided methodo<br>d density and walk<br>Once (YOLO) and<br>RT) are integrated<br>ginal image from f<br>sed by the metric<br>validate the mode   | y for transit ages<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi<br>y analyzing hig<br>logical framewo<br>king speed. For t<br>Simple Online<br>to detect and tr<br>the camera to re<br>calculation laye<br>rom stair and ha   | ncies to maximize of<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vio<br>ork (named Al-Crow<br>he pedestrian detect<br>and Realtime Track<br>ack the dynamic po<br>al scale in the came<br>r to implement a co<br>Ilway scenarios in a<br>sed on the example  | operational<br>d are key<br>()-based crowd<br>deo data. We<br>wd) for<br>ction and<br>sing with a<br>position of each<br>ora calibration<br>omprehensive<br>a major rail<br>e video data  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>features of crowd analytics. This st<br>analytics model framework for rail<br>propose a generalized triple-layer<br>calculating the flow volume, crowd<br>tracking layer, the You Only Look<br>Deep Association Metric (Deep SO<br>individual. Then, we adjust the ori<br>layer. Next, the calibrated results u<br>calculation of crowd metrics. Seven<br>transit station in China are used to<br>samples, the pedestrian counting a   | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, b<br>AI-aided methodo<br>d density and walk<br>Once (YOLO) and<br>RT) are integrated<br>ginal image from t<br>sed by the metric<br>al video records fr<br>validate the mode<br>ccuracy can be 95%  | y for transit ages<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi<br>y analyzing hig<br>logical framewo<br>king speed. For t<br>Simple Online a<br>to detect and tr<br>the camera to re<br>calculation laye<br>rom stair and ha<br>el framework. Ba<br>% - 98%; the fun   | ncies to maximize of<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vid<br>ork (named AI-Crow<br>he pedestrian detect<br>and Realtime Track<br>ack the dynamic po<br>al scale in the came<br>r to implement a co<br>llway scenarios in a<br>used on the example<br>damental diagrams  | operational<br>I are key<br>I)-based crowd<br>deo data. We<br>wd) for<br>ction and<br>cing with a<br>osition of each<br>tra calibration<br>omprehensive<br>a major rail<br>e video data<br>s of density-                                      |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>features of crowd analytics. This st<br>analytics model framework for rail<br>propose a generalized triple-layer a<br>calculating the flow volume, crowd<br>tracking layer, the You Only Look<br>Deep Association Metric (Deep SO<br>individual. Then, we adjust the ori-<br>layer. Next, the calibrated results u<br>calculation of crowd metrics. Seven<br>transit station in China are used to<br>samples, the pedestrian counting a<br>speed are shown to be consistent w  | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, by<br>AI-aided methodo<br>d density and walk<br>Once (YOLO) and<br>RT) are integrated<br>ginal image from t<br>sed by the metric<br>cal video records fr<br>validate the mode<br>ccuracy can be 95°<br>vith empirical stud                       | y for transit ages<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi<br>y analyzing hig<br>logical framewo<br>king speed. For t<br>Simple Online<br>to detect and tr<br>che camera to re<br>calculation laye<br>rom stair and ha<br>framework. Ba<br>% - 98%; the fun<br>lies. Furthermor                            | ncies to maximize of<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vid<br>ork (named AI-Crow<br>he pedestrian deter<br>and Realtime Track<br>ack the dynamic po<br>al scale in the came<br>r to implement a co<br>llway scenarios in<br>ased on the example<br>damental diagrams<br>e, the methodology                                     | operational<br>I are key<br>I)-based crowd<br>deo data. We<br>wd) for<br>ction and<br>cing with a<br>osition of each<br>ora calibration<br>omprehensive<br>a major rail<br>e video data<br>s of density-<br>v has practical                   |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>features of crowd analytics. This st<br>analytics model framework for rail<br>propose a generalized triple-layer<br>calculating the flow volume, crowd<br>tracking layer, the You Only Look<br>Deep Association Metric (Deep SO<br>individual. Then, we adjust the ori<br>layer. Next, the calibrated results u<br>calculation of crowd metrics. Seven<br>transit station in China are used to<br>samples, the pedestrian counting a<br>speed are shown to be consistent w<br>applications such as automatic pas   | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, by<br>AI-aided methodo<br>d density and walk<br>Once (YOLO) and<br>RT) are integrated<br>ginal image from to<br>sed by the metric<br>al video records fr<br>validate the mode<br>ccuracy can be 95°<br>with empirical stud<br>senger counting, b | y for transit ages<br>, crowd density<br>eneralized Artifi<br>y analyzing hig<br>logical framewo<br>king speed. For t<br>Simple Online<br>to detect and tr<br>the camera to re<br>calculation laye<br>rom stair and ha<br>el framework. Ba<br>% - 98%; the fun<br>lies. Furthermor<br>evel of service e   | ncies to maximize of<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vid<br>ork (named AI-Crow<br>he pedestrian detect<br>and Realtime Track<br>ack the dynamic po<br>al scale in the came<br>r to implement a co<br>llway scenarios in a<br>sed on the example<br>damental diagrams<br>e, the methodology<br>valuation, and socia           | operational<br>d are key<br>()-based crowd<br>deo data. We<br>wd) for<br>ction and<br>ting with a<br>osition of each<br>ora calibration<br>omprehensive<br>a major rail<br>e video data<br>s of density-<br>v has practical<br>al distancing  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>features of crowd analytics. This st<br>analytics model framework for rail<br>propose a generalized triple-layer<br>calculating the flow volume, crowd<br>tracking layer, the You Only Look<br>Deep Association Metric (Deep SO<br>individual. Then, we adjust the ori<br>layer. Next, the calibrated results u<br>calculation of crowd metrics. Seven<br>transit station in China are used to<br>samples, the pedestrian counting a<br>speed are shown to be consistent w<br>applications such as automatic pas<br>monitoring in the era of COVID-19  | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, by<br>AI-aided methodo<br>d density and walk<br>Once (YOLO) and<br>RT) are integrated<br>ginal image from to<br>sed by the metric<br>validate the mode<br>ccuracy can be 950<br>vith empirical stud<br>senger counting, le                       | y for transit ages<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi<br>y analyzing higl<br>logical framewo<br>king speed. For t<br>Simple Online a<br>to detect and tr<br>che camera to re<br>calculation laye<br>rom stair and ha<br>el framework. Ba<br>% - 98%; the fun<br>lies. Furthermor<br>evel of service e | ncies to maximize of<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vid<br>ork (named AI-Crow<br>he pedestrian deter<br>and Realtime Track<br>ack the dynamic po<br>al scale in the came<br>r to implement a co<br>llway scenarios in a<br>sed on the example<br>damental diagrams<br>e, the methodology<br>valuation, and socia            | operational<br>I are key<br>I)-based crowd<br>deo data. We<br>wd) for<br>ction and<br>cing with a<br>osition of each<br>ora calibration<br>omprehensive<br>a major rail<br>e video data<br>s of density-<br>v has practical<br>al distancing  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>features of crowd analytics. This st<br>analytics model framework for rail<br>propose a generalized triple-layer<br>calculating the flow volume, crowd<br>tracking layer, the You Only Look<br>Deep Association Metric (Deep SO<br>individual. Then, we adjust the ori<br>layer. Next, the calibrated results u<br>calculation of crowd metrics. Seven<br>transit station in China are used to<br>samples, the pedestrian counting a<br>speed are shown to be consistent w<br>applications such as automatic pas<br>monitoring in the era of COVID-19  | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, by<br>AI-aided methodo<br>d density and walk<br>Once (YOLO) and<br>RT) are integrated<br>ginal image from f<br>sed by the metric<br>validate the mode<br>ccuracy can be 95 <sup>o</sup><br>vith empirical stud<br>senger counting, le            | y for transit agen<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi<br>y analyzing hig<br>logical framewo<br>king speed. For t<br>Simple Online a<br>to detect and tr<br>the camera to re<br>calculation laye<br>rom stair and ha<br>el framework. Ba<br>% - 98%; the fun<br>lies. Furthermor<br>evel of service e  | ncies to maximize of<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vid<br>ork (named AI-Crow<br>he pedestrian detect<br>and Realtime Track<br>ack the dynamic po<br>al scale in the came<br>r to implement a co<br>Ilway scenarios in a<br>used on the example<br>damental diagrams<br>e, the methodology<br>valuation, and socia          | operational<br>d are key<br>()-based crowd<br>deo data. We<br>wd) for<br>ction and<br>sing with a<br>position of each<br>ora calibration<br>omprehensive<br>a major rail<br>e video data<br>s of density-<br>7 has practical<br>al distancing |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Abstract<br>Crowd analysis and management i<br>efficiency and minimize risk. Passe<br>features of crowd analytics. This st<br>analytics model framework for rail<br>propose a generalized triple-layer<br>calculating the flow volume, crowd<br>tracking layer, the You Only Look<br>Deep Association Metric (Deep SO<br>individual. Then, we adjust the ori<br>layer. Next, the calibrated results u<br>calculation of crowd metrics. Seven<br>transit station in China are used to<br>samples, the pedestrian counting a<br>speed are shown to be consistent w<br>applications such as automatic pas<br>monitoring in the era of COVID-19 17. Key Words Transit, Station. Passenger Fl   | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, b<br>AI-aided methodo<br>d density and walk<br>Once (YOLO) and<br>RT) are integrated<br>ginal image from t<br>sed by the metric<br>al video records fiv<br>validate the mode<br>ccuracy can be 950<br>with empirical stud<br>senger counting, le | y for transit ages<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi<br>y analyzing hig<br>logical framewo<br>king speed. For t<br>Simple Online<br>to detect and tr<br>the camera to re<br>calculation laye<br>rom stair and ha<br>el framework. Ba<br>% - 98%; the fun<br>lies. Furthermor<br>evel of service e    | ncies to maximize of<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vid<br>ork (named AI-Crow<br>he pedestrian detect<br>and Realtime Track<br>ack the dynamic po-<br>al scale in the came<br>r to implement a co-<br>llway scenarios in a<br>used on the example<br>damental diagrams<br>e, the methodology<br>valuation, and social       | operational<br>d are key<br>()-based crowd<br>deo data. We<br>wd) for<br>ction and<br>ting with a<br>position of each<br>tra calibration<br>omprehensive<br>a major rail<br>e video data<br>s of density-<br>y has practical<br>al distancing |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li><sup>16.</sup> Abstract</li> <li>Crowd analysis and management i efficiency and minimize risk. Passe features of crowd analytics. This st analytics model framework for rail propose a generalized triple-layer calculating the flow volume, crowd tracking layer, the You Only Look Deep Association Metric (Deep SO individual. Then, we adjust the orilayer. Next, the calibrated results u calculation of crowd metrics. Sever transit station in China are used to samples, the pedestrian counting a speed are shown to be consistent w applications such as automatic pasmonitoring in the era of COVID-19</li> <li><sup>17.</sup> Key Words</li> <li>Transit, Station, Passenger Fl Simulation, Modeling</li> </ul>   | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, by<br>AI-aided methodo<br>d density and walk<br>Once (YOLO) and<br>RT) are integrated<br>ginal image from to<br>sed by the metric<br>validate the mode<br>ccuracy can be 950<br>vith empirical stud<br>senger counting, le                       | y for transit ages<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi<br>y analyzing higl<br>logical framewo<br>king speed. For t<br>Simple Online a<br>to detect and tr<br>the camera to re<br>calculation laye<br>rom stair and ha<br>el framework. Ba<br>% - 98%; the fun<br>lies. Furthermor<br>evel of service e | ncies to maximize of<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vid<br>ork (named AI-Crow<br>he pedestrian deter<br>and Realtime Track<br>ack the dynamic po<br>al scale in the came<br>r to implement a co<br>llway scenarios in a<br>sed on the example<br>damental diagrams<br>e, the methodology<br>valuation, and socia            | operational<br>I are key<br>I)-based crowd<br>deo data. We<br>wd) for<br>ction and<br>cing with a<br>osition of each<br>ora calibration<br>omprehensive<br>a major rail<br>e video data<br>s of density-<br>v has practical<br>al distancing  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>16. Abstract</li> <li>Crowd analysis and management i efficiency and minimize risk. Passe features of crowd analytics. This st analytics model framework for rail propose a generalized triple-layer calculating the flow volume, crowd tracking layer, the You Only Look Deep Association Metric (Deep SO individual. Then, we adjust the orilayer. Next, the calibrated results u calculation of crowd metrics. Sever transit station in China are used to samples, the pedestrian counting a speed are shown to be consistent wapplications such as automatic pasmonitoring in the era of COVID-19</li> <li>17. Key Words</li> <li>19. Security Classification (of this report)</li> </ul> | s key area of study<br>enger flow volume<br>udy proposes a ge<br>transit stations, by<br>AI-aided methodo<br>d density and walk<br>Once (YOLO) and<br>RT) are integrated<br>ginal image from f<br>sed by the metric<br>al video records fr<br>validate the mode<br>ccuracy can be 95°<br>vith empirical stud<br>senger counting, le | y for transit agen<br>, crowd density<br>meralized Artifi<br>y analyzing hig<br>logical framewo<br>king speed. For t<br>Simple Online<br>to detect and tr<br>the camera to re<br>calculation laye<br>rom stair and ha<br>el framework. Ba<br>% - 98%; the fun<br>lies. Furthermor<br>evel of service e    | ncies to maximize of<br>and walking speed<br>cial Intelligence (Al<br>n-density crowd vid<br>ork (named AI-Crow<br>he pedestrian detect<br>and Realtime Track<br>ack the dynamic po<br>al scale in the came<br>r to implement a co<br>llway scenarios in a<br>used on the example<br>damental diagrams<br>e, the methodology<br>valuation, and social<br>ment | operational<br>d are key<br>()-based crowd<br>deo data. We<br>wd) for<br>ction and<br>sing with a<br>position of each<br>ora calibration<br>omprehensive<br>a major rail<br>e video data<br>s of density-<br>v has practical<br>al distancing |  |  |  |  |

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-69)

## Acknowledgments

This project was financially supported by the Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation (CAIT), a University Transportation Center (UTC) supported by USDOT-OST-R. We are grateful to the anonymous rail agency for providing their infrastructure asset data for this research.

# Contents

| 1. In | troduction6                                |
|-------|--|
| 2. L  | terature Review10                          |
| 2.1.  | Crowd Analytics with Computer Vision10     |
| 2.2.  | Top-view Fisheye Lens Video Analysis11     |
| 2.3.  | Knowledge Gaps and Intended Contribution13 |
| 3. M  | ethodology14                               |
| 3.1.  | People Detection and Tracking14            |
| 3.1.1 | People Detection                           |
| 3.1.2 | 2. People Tracking                         |
| 3.2.  | Camera Calibration                         |
| 3.2.1 | . Camera Parameters                        |
| 3.2.2 | 2. Video Calibration                       |
| 3.3.  | Crowd Metrics Calculation                  |
| 3.3.  | Flow Volume                                |
| 3.3.2 | 2. Crowd Density                           |
| 3.3.3 | 3. Walking Speed                           |
| 4. M  | odel Implementation                        |
| 4.1.  | Data Description                           |
| 4.2.  | Model Training                             |
| 4.3.  | Model Validation                           |
| 5. A  | pplication and Discussions                 |
| 5.1.  | Automatic Passenger Counting               |
| 5.2.  | Level Of Service (LOS) Evaluation          |
| 5.3.  | Social Distancing Monitoring               |
| 6. C  | onclusions                                 |
| REFE  | RENCES                                     |

## 1. Introduction

Transit providers must understand crowd dynamics to meet passenger demand efficiently and safely (Reuter, 2003). For example, flow volume in stations can be correlated to system capacity, which is an essential metric for transit agencies to evaluate the quality of service and make critical scheduling decisions (Sørensen et al., 2019). Crowd density is another essential metric for evaluating transit service and safety (Helbing et al., 2015), and allows transit agencies to monitor the safety of their passengers (Song et al., 2019). Individual movement trajectories and walking speeds are also important for analyzing passenger behavior, which provides insight into facility design to improve customer experience (Ye et al., 2008).

To acquire critical crowd data, various approaches and solutions have been used (Table 1). Many passenger counting technologies have been developed to obtain flow volume. According to a survey from over 50 city metro authorities, commuter railroads, and surface transport providers around the world (Reuter, 2003), manual methods (e.g., staff counting, manual estimation based on train arrivals/departures) still dominate the practice and are rated as most useful at disaggregate data analysis on individual level (Boyle, 1998). However, acquiring and analyzing these data are labor-intensive and time-consuming. Automatic fare collection devices (AFCs) (Pinna et al., 2010), have been widely used in some countries (e.g., China). Nevertheless, card penetration is crucial to obtain accurate ridership. Field surveys (Song et al., 2019) or manually counting people at an area under observation from closed-circuit television (CCTV) records (Saleh et al., 2015) are commonly used manual methods for acquiring the crowd density. For analyzing the passenger movement, like walking speed, field surveys with stopwatches or manually review of walking length and duration from video records (Ye et al., 2008) are the commonly employed manual methods. Similarly, these methods are also labor intensive and time consuming.

Computer vision-based techniques show promise at overcoming the limitations of manual methods with low costs and high efficiency and receive much attention from academia and industry for crowd analytics (Junior et al., 2010; Saleh et al., 2015; Sindagi and Patel, 2018).

| Metrics | Approaches   | Advantages  | Limitations   |
|---------|--|---|---|
| Flow    | Manual methods<br>(Boyle, 1998; Reuter,<br>2003)                             | Easy to implement without any professional devices    | Labor-intensive, time-<br>consuming; reliability is<br>impacted by the checkers |
| volume  | Electronic registering<br>fareboxes (Pinna et<br>al., 2010; Reuter,<br>2003) | Aggregate data on route-<br>wide or system-wide level | Reliability is impacted by operator compliance and attitudes                    |

Table 1.1. Approaches Comparison Matrix for the Crowd Dynamic Metrics

|                     | Automatic fare<br>collection devices<br>(Pinna et al., 2010;<br>Reuter, 2003) | Individual level data, easy<br>to operate for passengers<br>and operators                                   | Card penetration impacts the accuracy   |  |
|---------------------|---|---|---|--|
|                     | Infrared sensors<br>(Pinna et al., 2010;<br>Reuter, 2003)                     | Capability of managing fast and compact flows   | Incorrect activations by<br>luggage, multiple sensors on<br>each door                         |  |
|                     | Treadle mats (Pinna<br>et al., 2010; Reuter,<br>2003)                         | Less issues of incorrect activations, high accuracy   | Need of slow passenger flows  |  |
|                     | Computer vision-<br>based methods<br>(Junior et al., 2010)                    | Less issues of incorrect<br>activations, high accuracy,<br>capability of managing fast<br>and compact flows | Robustness in multi-<br>scenarios, large storage and<br>computational capacity<br>requirement |  |
| Crowd               | Manual methods<br>(Saleh et al., 2015;<br>Song et al., 2019)                  | Easy to implement without any professional devices  | Labor-intensive, time-<br>consuming   |  |
| density             | Computer vision-<br>based methods (Ye et<br>al., 2008)                        | Low cost, high efficiency,<br>visual display  | Robustness in multi-<br>scenarios, large storage and<br>computational capacity<br>requirement |  |
|                     | Manual methods (Ye et al., 2008)  | Easy to implement without any professional devices  | Labor-intensive, time-<br>consuming   |  |
| Walking<br>behavior | Computer vision-<br>based methods (Ye et<br>al., 2008)                        | Low cost, high efficiency,<br>visual display  | Robustness in multi-<br>scenarios, large storage and<br>computational capacity<br>requirement |  |

However, existing studies mainly focus on counting passengers in a static image to estimate crowd density and cannot acquire flow volume, monitor crowd density or analyze passenger movement. Meanwhile, most commercially available CV-based products focus on counting, not estimating crowd density monitoring or analyzing walking behavior. Moreover, these products are targeted to scenarios of low-density and small-flow-volume crowds such as shopping malls and office buildings, which contrasts with the typical environment of a high-density rail transit station.

These studies and products mainly utilize video data from cameras with high-angle views for counting people and crowd density estimation (Li et al., 2016; Punn and Agarwal, 2019). However, the cameras in transit stations are more commonly top-view fisheye lens cameras, to accommodate for low overhead clearances. Top-view cameras capture more extensive images with line distortion than normal lens cameras, as shown in Figure 1.1. An added benefit of these cameras is that they do not capture facial information, which addresses privacy concerns of big

video data collection. These specific features make the analysis of video data from top-view fisheye lens cameras more difficult from that from normal lens cameras.



Figure 1.1. Illustration of Top View and High-angle View, View of Normal Lens Camera and View of Fisheye Lens Camera

From the above, there are three challenges to develop a practicable, comprehensive CVbased high-density crowd analytics model framework for the videos from top-view fisheye lens cameras. This study aims to provide implementable and generalized solutions for these challenges, as shown in Table 1.2.

|     | Challenges   | Solutions  |
|-----|--|--|
| Ι   | How to acquire individual dynamic<br>trajectory information from video<br>records of high-density crowds in rail<br>transit station? | We propose a head detection +<br>tracking model framework to get<br>trajectories.  |
| II  | How to measure the real distance from video frames, especially for the distorted images?   | We formulate a camera calibration<br>method with extrinsic parameters and<br>intrinsic parameters extracted from<br>the video frames.      |
| III | How to calculate three essential<br>metrics of crowd analytics using the<br>trajectories from the proposed model<br>framework?       | We develop the calculation methods<br>of three metrics (i.e., flow volume,<br>crowd density, walking speed) with<br>individual trajectory. |

Table 1.2. Challenges and Solutions for AI-aided Crowd Analytics in Rail Transit Station

The remainder of this report is organized as follows. Chapter 2 shows a comprehensive literature review on pedestrian counting and crowd density calculation using computer vision techniques, as well as studies on top-view fisheye lens video data analysis. Chapter 3 specifies the methodology proposed in this study. Chapter 4 describes the model implementation and validation using video data from Beijing Subway stations. Chapter 5 discusses the potential practical

applications of the proposed model framework. Chapter 6 concludes this report and proposes future improvements

#### 2. Literature Review

#### 2.1. Crowd Analytics with Computer Vision

Flow low volume, crowd density and walking speed are the three basic metrics for crowd analysis, and their relationships are defined as fundamental diagrams. These diagrams are used to estimate capacity and Level of Service (LOS) of various facilities in transit stations. With the improvement of computer vision techniques, they can be acquired by multiple methods, including computer vision and convolutional neural network (CNN)-based methods.

The computer vision based methods are categorized into two categories, (1) regressionbased methods, (2) detection-based methods (Junior et al., 2010; Saleh et al., 2015; Sindagi and Patel, 2018). Regression-based methods are proposed to estimate the number of people for extremely dense crowds in the images (Sindagi and Patel, 2018). These methods formulate the relationship between the image features (e.g., blob area) of people and crowd density using regression models. This method is mainly used to estimate total crowd density in an image but cannot be used to segment each individual. In the methods, features contain low-level features (e.g., blob area, perimeter-area ratio) (Ryan et al., 2009) and texture features (e.g., contrast, homogeneity, entropy) (Chan et al., 2008), which are also commonly used in detection-based methods.

Detection-based methods count the number of people by detecting each person in an image and calculate the crowd density using counted people number with region area (Li et al., 2016). Monolithic detection is a commonly used technique, which identifies the crowd with extracted features from a whole-body (Saleh et al., 2015; Sindagi and Patel, 2018). For example, binary classifier feature extraction methods for a scanning window detector shows a detection rate of about 93% in typical surveillance scenarios (Jones and Snow, 2008). However, occlusion in highdensity crowds adversely impacts the performance of whole-body detection models. Three headlike detection methods are proposed to tackle this challenge; Haar wavelet transform for feature extraction of the head-like contour (Sheng-Fuu et al., 2001), omega shape ( $\Omega$ ) feature for the headshoulder part (Li et al., 2009), and 3D shape model using three ellipsoids (Zhao et al., 2008). These methods excel in high density environments as they are robust to partial occlusions and atypical part appearances.

CNN is a state-of-the-art machine learning method and has superior capabilities for learning non-linear functions from the input data (Zhao et al., 2019). A CNN was used to formulate a deep CNN regression model for estimating people's numbers from images by determining the relationship between image features and the number of people in the image (Wang et al., 2015).

In addition, studies have used CNNs for feature extraction (Sheng et al., 2018), which demonstrated CNNs suitability for pedestrian detection. R-CNN is one of these features based CNNs with superior performance (Girshick et al., 2014) that extracts a large number of region proposals from an input image and computes features for each proposal using CNN. Objects are detected by classifying each region with features. Following R-CNN, Fast-RCNN (Girshick, 2015) and Faster-RCNN (Ren et al., 2017) were developed to improve the computational efficiency and model performance. You Only Look Once (YOLO) is a recent development in CNN detectors that isolates objects using CNN in a single analysis of an image. This methodology has greatly improved computational efficiency while maintaining a high detection accuracy (Redmon et al., 2016; Redmon and Farhadi, 2018). Although the detection accuracy of YOLO is lower than that of Faster-RCNN, the superior computational efficiency makes YOLO a promising solution for the application in practice.

Most previous studies focus on pedestrian detection in an image, static counting, and density estimation but do not implement trajectory-based analyses. Some studies have attempted to overcome this challenge through methods such as the Kanade-Lucas-Tomasi (KLT) tracker, which is a commonly used tracking methodology (Rabaud and Belongie, 2006; Sidla et al., 2006). As an optical flow-based method, KLT tracks people using displacements of the dominant points and acquires people's walking trajectories. In these studies, a "virtual gate" was defined to count people with trajectories (Sidla et al., 2006), and the trajectories can also be employed to calculate walking speed (Hediyeh et al., 2014a; Sultan and Khan, 2013). However, these methods are susceptible to occlusion or illumination changes. Recently, a simple online real-time tracking with a deep association metric (or Deep SORT) (Wojke et al., 2017) was developed. In Deep SORT, persons are detected in each frame, and the detections are matched based on feature similarity to acquire their tracks. Deep SORT solves occlusion tracking problems effectively and is more suitable for high-density tracking as in the context of rail transit station.

#### 2.2. Top-view Fisheye Lens Video Analysis

Fisheye lens cameras capture larger areas than conventional cameras. They are widely used in security surveillance system (Tai et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019). Furthermore, top-view fisheye lens cameras benefit from less mutual occlusion among objects in a scene and protecting individual privacy without facial information (Krams and Kiryati, 2017). However, the lenses capture wide visual fields by distorting the lines in images, which makes the pedestrian detection and tracking challenging. Most studies using videos from top-view fisheye lens cameras focus on pedestrian detection, while studies on pedestrian tracking are scarce. A significant difference between conventional camera analysis and fisheye lens camera analysis is the camera calibration that extracts camera parameters from the video images. Except for extrinsic and intrinsic camera parameters, distortion parameters are the crucial parameters for fisheye lens camera. For acquiring the distortion parameters, a geometric-mathematical model for describing the physical and optical behavior of the sensor is essential (Puig et al., 2011). To extract parameters quickly and automatically, generalized parametric fisheye lens models were proposed using a polynomial form with distortion parameters (Kannala and Brandt, 2006; Scaramuzza et al., 2006). These models calculate extrinsic, intrinsic parameters and distortion parameters using several checkerboard images captured by the cameras. Because of the simple expression and robust performance, these models are widely used to calibrate fisheye lens cameras.

With the calibrated parameters, undistorting the deformed video frames as well as converting image scale to real-world scale need to be conducted for further analysis. For pedestrian detection, there are two different approaches (Wang et al., 2019): the first is detecting people directly on distorted video frames and then undistorting images (Tamura et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). For example, blobs extracted by background subtraction were used to detect people; undistorted images using intrinsic and extrinsic camera parameters were employed to track people (Meinel et al., 2014). Images of the COCO dataset were rotated to train the YOLO model for detecting the rotated people (Tamura et al., 2019). Mask-RCNN was utilized to detect the deformed shape of people, and tacking was implemented based on the detected masks (Wang et al., 2019). The second one is undistorting fisheye video frames into normal images and then detecting people in them (Seidel et al., 2018). For example, projecting distorted images to normal images was implemented by the camera calibration model, and YOLO was used to detected people in research by Seidel et al., 2018).

Converting image scale to real-world scale is essential for crowd dynamic analysis by computer vision techniques and conversions based on camera parameters are common practice (Hediyeh et al., 2014b). Scale conversion is based on the similarity triangles that are formulated by points in real world, corresponding points in the image and the central point of camera lens. After getting the real length of trajectories, crowd dynamics (e.g., walking speed) can be analyzed. For example, we can calculate the instantaneous walking speed by using the displacement of tracked points over successive frames (Sultan and Khan, 2013). We can also calculate average walking speed by setting two parallel screen lines and calculating the shortest distance between two intersecting points of trajectory with two screen lines (Hediyeh et al., 2014a).

## 2.3. Knowledge Gaps and Intended Contribution

A Taken together, there are two gaps in existing studies on computer vision based crowd analytics: (1) few studies focus on individual dynamic trajectory extraction from distorted video records, especially for high density crowd videos; (2) existing studies ignored calculating basic metrics for crowd analysis from the on-site detection data, which limits the application for crowd safety monitoring and control in transit stations.

This study proposes a novel approach to fill these gaps in two major ways.

(1) We integrate pedestrian detection, people tracking, and camera calibration technique to acquire individual trajectories from distorted video records; moreover, an individual's head is used to isolate a person's visible features under high density crowd conditions. Formulating three methods for crowd analysis in video,

- a. An IO (In and Out) Matching flow volume counting algorithm.
- b. A Voronoi diagram based crowd density calculation algorithm, and a trajectory based walking speed calculation algorithm, to extract the three metrics from on-site video records.
- c. A prediction method is employed to get the positions of "missing" people.

#### 3. Methodology

We developed a comprehensive model framework with three layers to implement crowd dynamic analysis with computer vision techniques, as shown in Figure 3.1: (1) head detection and tracking for individual trajectory extraction, (2) camera calibration for undistorting and scale converting, (3) crowd analysis. Video feeds are input into the analysis framework and are detected and tracked to acquire individual trajectories. Head detection and a tracking-by-detection paradigm is employed to adapt to high-density crowd scenarios. Camera calibration is used to rectify barrel distortion of video frames caused by fisheye lens and convert an images' scale to real-world scale. We propose a trajectory-based person counting method, an individual-based crowd density calculation method, and a walking speed calculation method to obtain the basic crowd metrics. These results can be displayed or archived for specific application or further analysis.



Figure 3.1. Research Architecture

#### 3.1. People Detection and Tracking

To achieve the goal of monitoring high-density crowds in transit station with crowd analytics metrics, we firstly extract individual dynamic positions and trajectories from video records. In high density scenarios, head detection is a commonly used technique. Additionally, computational efficiency and detection accuracy are essential for use of this technology in practice. Based on these requirements, we propose a generalized head detection and tracking framework by integrating techniques of YOLO (You Only Look Once) and Deep SORT (Simple Online and Realtime Tracking with a Deep Association Metric), as shown in Figure 3.2. People are detected

by YOLO algorithm which is a state-of-the-art real-time object detection system in both detection accuracy and computational efficiency. Deep SORT is employed to track the previously detected people as an extension of the SORT algorithm. Deep SORT excels over its predecessor by performing better under occlusion conditions



Figure 3.2. People Detection and Tracking Framework

#### **3.1.1.** People Detection

Video feeds are input into YOLO, and each image frame is extracted to detect all identifiable people within it. YOLO resizes the image into a square size (e.g.,  $448 \times 448$ ) and divides the resized image into multiple grid cells (e.g.,  $7 \times 7$ ). Bounding boxes are predicted for each cell based on a convolutional neural network, as shown in Figure 3.3. The bounding box is a rectangular box that can be determined by *x* and *y* coordinates of the central point, width w, and height *h*. It marks the detected object with a confidence score conf. Therefore, each predicted bounding box contains five values (*x*, *y*, *w*, *h*, *conf*). The confidence score conf is calculated by Equation (1).



Figure 3.3. People Detection Architecture

$$conf = P(Object) \times IOU_{pred}^{truth}$$
 (1)

In this equation *P*(Object) is the probability of object existing in the cell. If no object exists in the cell, *conf* is 0. Otherwise, *conf* equals the IOU (intersection over union) between the predicted box and the ground truth.

Meanwhile, each grid cell also predicts C conditional class probabilities P(Class|Object) for the detected object and we can get a class probability map, in which C is the number of classes. In this study, we mainly focus on the class of people's heads.

Consequently, the class-specific confidence scores confc for each bounding box are calculated by Equation (2). The bounding boxes with class-specific confidence scores are filtered out by a predefined threshold. A non-maximum suppression algorithm is used to filter out the bounding boxes with high values of IOU between these bounding boxes. The remaining ones are detected bounding boxes for the people. More details can be found in the work of Redmon et al. (2016).

$$conf_c = P(Class|Object) \times P(Object) \times IOU_{pred}^{truth}$$
 (2)

## **3.1.2.** People Tracking

The detected bounding boxes, corresponding confidence scores and features are input into the Deep SORT module, and Figure 3.4 shows the tracking architecture. A Kalman filter is employed to predict positions of the detected bounding boxes for the next time step. At the next time step, new detections are input, and the predicted tracks are processed following their status (i.e., confirmed or unconfirmed) to match with new detections.



Figure 3.4. People Tracking Architecture

The confirmed tracks are matched by using matching cascade algorithm. The matching cascade algorithm records the time duration of each track since the last update, and the shorter track are prioritized first. For matching, the similarity of motion information (e.g., the position of bounding boxes) and the similarity of appearance features between predicted Kalman tracks and newly detected tracks are measured to get weighted similarities. The weighted similarities are input into the Hungarian algorithm, which is a widely used combinatorial optimization algorithm, to get a matching matrix. A matching threshold *max\_threshold* is used to get matched tracks and unmatched tracks. This method is resilient to missed tracking in sequential frames due to partial occlusion.

Then, unconfirmed tracks and the unmatched tracks from the matching cascade phase are input into IOU association algorithm to conduct matching with unmatched newly detected ones. IOU values between unmatched tracks and newly detected tracks are calculated as matching metric, as shown in Figure 3.5. IOU values are input into the Hungarian algorithm to get a matching matrix. A matching IOU threshold *max\_iou\_threshold* is used to acquire the matching results.



Figure 3.5. Definition of IOU

After the process, we get three result sets: matched tracks, unmatched tracks, and unmatched detections. If the tracks are matched in n frames, we set them as confirmed tracks and update their information. Otherwise, their statuses are still tentative. For the unmatched tracks, if they are unconfirmed tracks, we delete them from the track list. If they are confirmed, but unmatched time is larger than a threshold *max\_age*, they are also deleted. For the unmatched detections, we create new track identifiers for them. More details can be found in the work of Wojke et al. (2017).

#### 3.2. Camera Calibration

To ascertain critical crowd metrics, accurate trajectories in a real-world coordinate system are needed. A camera calibration is used to convert the distorted images caused by the fisheye lens and remap the image coordinate system to the real-world coordinate system in this study.

#### 3.2.1. Camera Parameters

For a pinhole camera, we can use two parameter sets to project a point in real-world coordinates to pixel coordinates in the image frame. Extrinsic parameters transform world coordinates to camera coordinates, which is a rigid transformation from 3D to 3D. Intrinsic parameters transform camera coordinates to pixel coordinates in the image frame, which is a projective transformation from 3D to 2D.

Extrinsic parameters include a rotation matrix **R** and a translation vector **t**. The rotation matrix describes the camera rotation information relative to three coordinate axes of the world coordinate system; the translation vector describes the translation information of the camera optical center relative to the origin of the world coordinate system. A point  $p_w(x_w, y_w, z_w)$  in the world coordinate system is transformed to a point  $p_c(x_c, y_c, z_c)$  in the camera coordinate system, as shown in Equation (3)

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_c \\ y_c \\ z_c \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R} \times \begin{bmatrix} x_w \\ y_w \\ z_w \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{t} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} x_w \\ y_w \\ z_w \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ t_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

The point  $p_c$  projects to point p(x, y) in image plane followed by Similar Triangles rule for a simple pinhole camera, as shown in Figure 3.6. This projection can be represented by Equation (4). Next, we transform the point p to  $p_i(u, v)$  in pixel coordinate system with image resolution, as shown in Equation (5). Integrating the two equations in Equation (4), we get the camera intrinsic matrix **K**, as shown in Equation (5).



Figure 3.6. Projection from Camera Coordinate System to Pixel Coordinate System

$$z_{c} \times \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} x_{c} \\ y_{c} \\ z_{c} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/dx & 0 & u_{0} \\ 0 & 1/dy & v_{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

In this equation, *f* is focal length in world units, which is one of the intrinsic parameters. dx, dy are the length of one pixel in world units;  $u_0$ ,  $v_0$  are the optical center in pixel units, which are all the intrinsic parameters

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} f/dx & 0 & u_0 \\ 0 & f/dy & v_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

For the fisheye lens camera, the position of a point will be distorted when it is projected to image plane. We assume the fisheye lens obeys the equidistance projection model that is the most commonly used (Kannala and Brandt, 2006), and this distortion can be modeled by using distortion parameters. In this study, we employ a general projection form as shown in Equation (6) (Kannala and Brandt, 2006). Therefore, point p is distorted to point p'(x', y'), as shown in Equation (7).

$$\theta_d = \theta + k_1 \theta^3 + k_2 \theta^5 + k_3 \theta^7 + k_4 \theta^9 \tag{6}$$

Where:  $k_{1-4}$  are the distortion parameters,  $\theta$  is the angle between the principal axis and the incoming ray,  $\theta_d$  is the angle between the principal axis and the projection ray.

$$x' = \frac{\theta_d \times z_c}{\sqrt{x_c^2 + y_c^2}} \times \frac{x_c}{z_c}, \quad y' = \frac{\theta_d \times z_c}{\sqrt{x_c^2 + y_c^2}} \times \frac{y_c}{z_c}$$
(7)

Where: (x', y') is the coordinates of the distorted point, other indicators are the same as mentioned before.

For the fisheye lens camera used in this study, we ascertain all 20 parameters, including 12 extrinsic parameters, 4 intrinsic parameters, and 4 distortion parameters. They are used to undistort the video frames and convert the image scale.

#### 3.2.2. Video Calibration

After getting the camera parameters, intrinsic parameters and distortion parameters can be used to undistort video image frames with Equation (4) – (7). For image scale conversion, we assume the tracking point marked on individual head is his/her position point on the ground. When a tracking point moves a distance of  $x_c$ , as shown in Figure 3.7, the displacement on the undistorted video image frames will be x. We obtain the camera installation height H from extrinsic parameter  $z_c$ , and the focal length from intrinsic parameter f. With the body height h of human being, we can determine Equation (8) followed by the Similar Triangles rule and calculate the tracking point moving distance  $x_c$ . We employ this distance to calculate walking speed for each person.

$$\frac{f}{x} = \frac{H-h}{x_c} \Longrightarrow x_c = (H-h)\Box x/f = (z_c - h)\Box x/f$$
(8)



Figure 3.7. Illustration of Image Scale Conversion

## 3.3. Crowd Metrics Calculation

Flow volume, crowd density and walking speed are the essential metrics to describe crowd status. Therefore, we propose an IO (In and Out) Matching method to identify the people walking direction and count the people passing through a specific cross-section (e.g., a door of bus or train) for acquiring flow volume. We formulated an individual-based crowd density calculation method to measure the accurate crowd density. We also used the trajectory information to calculate individual walking speeds.

## 3.3.1. Flow Volume

We designated a "virtual gate" (VG) as a cross-section for counting flow volume, which is a rectangle region, as shown in Figure 3.8. Moreover, we defined four walking directions for flow counting, and an IO Matching method to count people walking through the VG.



Figure 3.8. Designated Virtual Gate in One Scenario 21

We established two real-time attributes for each tracked pedestrian: walking direction and IO status. We calculated the walking direction on vertical and horizontal levels using the latest *n* track information for each tracking ID at each time frame. Firstly, for each detected person *i* their positions are ascertained. The counter will be triggered only when the number of position records is larger than threshold  $min_{last} = 5$ ; or it will go to the next pedestrian i+1. The benefits of this process are twofold. The first is to mitigate the counting disturbance of some misidentified individuals, and the second one is to avoid the misjudgment of walking direction by using limited position information. The threshold can be set a suitable value based on test outcomes. IO status is updated following spatial relations between the individual trajectory and edges of the VG. If the trajectory of people *i* intersects two edges of the VG, IO status of the people will be set as passed, and people *i* will be counted in the flow volume of his/her walking direction. If people *i* is walking to the VG or walking in the VG, his/her the IO status will be set as tentative for further analysis. If people i is walking out VG, he/she will be matched with people with tentative status. We calculate the similarity of their features as the matching index; a predefined threshold is used to get the matched one. They are counted as one person by the counter of the corresponding walking direction. Both of the IO statuses of the matched people are set as passed. This method addresses the emergent challenge of incorrectly changing tracking IDs. In this study, we use walking speed as the matching index

#### **3.3.2.** Crowd Density

In a high-density crowd, people can only perceive the local density without a whole picture of the entire crowd. To reflect the individual heterogeneity, we use the Voronoi diagram to formulate the occupancy area for each people and calculate individual-based crowd densities.

Voronoi diagram (Longley et al., 2005) is a plane partition method that divides a plane into regions based on a given set of points in the plane. At first, we formulate a triangulated irregular network that meets the Delaunay criterion using the points (Longley et al., 2005). Then, the perpendicular bisectors for each triangle edge are generated to form the edges of the Voronoi cells. Then, the perpendicular bisectors for each triangle edge are generated to form the edges of the Voronoi cells. The occupancy area  $A_i$  for a point *i* is the region containing the point. Consequently, we calculate the individual-based crowd density  $D_i$  by Equation (9).

$$D_i = 1.0/A_i \tag{9}$$

However, some people in the image frames may not be detected due to occlusion or view angle, and we cannot get their positions in some frames. Consequently, failing to detect these occluded individuals will negatively impact the accuracy of crowd density. To overcome this challenge, we propose a position prediction method to get coordinates of the "missing" people.

For the unmatched tracking identifiers at frame *t*, we regard them as the "missing" identifiers. We assume that they will remain their walking speed  $v_t$  ( $v_{xt}$ ,  $v_{yt}$ ) at frame *t*; their positions (x, y) at frame *t*+*n* are predicted by Equation (10)

$$x = v_{xt}(t+n) \times \Delta t + x_t; \quad y = v_{yt}(t+n) \times \Delta t + y_t$$
(10)

The  $(x_t, y_t)$  is position of the "missing" people at frame t;  $\Delta t$  is the time interval of one frame; other variables are same as above.

Considering that the prediction error increases over time, a maximum effective time threshold is predefined. If the update time of an unmatched tracking identifier is larger than the threshold, the identifier will be removed from the prediction process and be considered as lost forever. In this study, we set the value of threshold *max\_age* in tracking model as the maximum effective time threshold.

#### 3.3.3. Walking Speed

Walking speed is an essential indicator for flow volume counting and crowd density calculation, as well as a crucial metric for crowd analytics. For each tracked person, we record the coordinates in real-time. Therefore, we can calculate the instantaneous walking speed  $v_t(v_{xt}, v_{yt})$  at frame *t* by Equation (11).

$$v_{xt} = (x_t - x_{t-1}) / \Delta t; \quad y = (y_t - y_{t-1}) / \Delta t$$
 (11)

In the above equation  $(x_{t-1}, y_{t-1})$ ,  $(x_t, y_t)$  are positions of the tracked people at frame *t*-1 and *t*; $\Delta t$  is the time interval of one frame.

As stated in crowd density calculation section, we will remain the walking speed  $v_t$  ( $v_{xt}$ ,  $v_{yt}$ ) for "missing" people. The walking speed will be recorded until the "missing" time reaches maximum effective time threshold *max\_age*.

#### 4. Model Implementation

To validate the model framework (Figure 10) proposed in this study, we used the system to evaluate video records from two major subway stations in China. Pedestrian detection was implemented based on the "tiny" version of YOLOv3 (YOLO3-tiny) which is faster than other models and more suitable for practical application (Redmon and Farhadi, 2018). Pedestrian tracking is implemented with the standard version of Deep SORT (Wojke et al., 2017).

### 4.1. Data Description

To verify the generalization and robustness for various scenarios of the proposed model framework, we utilized surveillance video data of two different scenarios to implement the model, as shown in Figure 4.1. The first scenario (Scenario A) is alighted passengers walking to a stair and transferring to another line. A top-view fisheye lens camera shows the walking behavior of passengers in front of the entrance of the stair. The second scenario (Scenario B) is passengers walking in a passage while transferring to another line. Similarly, a top-view fisheye lens camera captures the walking behavior of passengers. For video records in these scenarios, the width and height of frame are  $352 \times 240$  pixels and the frame rate is 30 frames per second. In this study, we selected two video records for each scenario, and each video record lasts for about 30 minutes. One video record for each scenario is used to train the model, and another one is used to test the model.



Figure 4.1. Surveillance Video Records of Two Scenarios (left: Scenario A, right: Scenario B) from Beijing Subway



Figure 4.2. Implementation of the Entire Model Framework

#### 4.2. Model Training

To maximize model performance for detection and tracking, we trained the detection and tracking model to get the weights files and optimized parameters. We extracted 500 frame images from each training video and labeled the heads of all identifiable people in images with rectangle boxes, as shown in Figure 4.3. Coordinates of label boxes and the classification (i.e., Head class) in an image are recorded into an annotation file. The labeled images and annotation files are randomly split into a training set, a testing set, and a validation set.



Figure 4.3. Extracted Images from Top-view Videos

These data are employed to tune the training hyper-parameters at first, and then train the model with the optimized hyper-parameters to acquire the best model weights file. After getting a well-performed detection model, we refine tracking model parameters  $max\_dist = 0.7$ ,  $max\_iou\_dist = 0.7$  and  $max\_age = 5$ . The original weights file provided by Wojke et al. (2017) is employed as the cosine metric feature representation for person re-identification in this study.

Meanwhile, we extract camera parameters from video records. Checkerboard images should be captured by the camera to calibrate the parameters. Note that the extrinsic parameters are calculated based on the world coordinate system in the checkboard plane, we choose the ground floor as the checkboard plane. For reducing the labor work further, we employ the floor tiles shown in the videos as the checkerboard for camera calibration. We extract several images with floor tiles and draw grid cells covering the floor tiles exactly, as shown in Figure 12; the floor tiles are square shape with 0.65 *m* of edge. The checkerboard images and the edge length are input into the camera calibration tool; camera parameters and the undistorted images are output as shown in Table 3 and Figure 12



(a) Checkerboard with floor tiles(b) Undistorted image frameFigure 4.4. Camera Calibration

| Car    | mera intrinsic ma | Fisheye len<br>coefficie | s distortion<br>ents       |                            |
|--------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 147.00 | 0.00              | 197.20                   | -0.13399 (k <sub>1</sub> ) | 0.00933 (k <sub>2</sub> )  |
| 0.00   | 106.50            | 128.06                   | $-0.02622(k_3)$            | -0.00638 (k <sub>4</sub> ) |
| 0.00   | 0.00              | 1.00                     | 0.0000 (k5)                | $0.0000(k_6)$              |

| Table 4.1. Camera mu more man la anu Distor don Coemercien | Tε | ıble | <b>4.1</b> . | Camera | Intrinsic | Matrix | and l | Distortion | Coefficient |
|--|----|------|--------------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|------------|-------------|
|--|----|------|--------------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|------------|-------------|

We measured the size of checkerboard in undistorted image frames, 150 *pixels* × 150 *pixels*. From Figure 3.6,  $z_c$  is calculated in accordance with Similar Triangles rule,  $z_c = 3250 \text{ mm} \times 147 \text{ pixels} / 150 \text{ pixels} = 3185 \text{ mm}$ . Individual body height is set as h = 1600 mm ((CNHFPC), 2015), so we can convert image scale to real scale using  $x_c = (3185 \text{ mm} - 1600 \text{ mm}) \times x \text{ pixels} / 147 \text{ pixels} = 10.78x \text{ mm}$ 

#### 4.3. Model Validation

We configured the model framework with trained models and optimized parameters. A "virtual gate" was set up in the middle of the frame with a width of 20 pixels. A new video record of Scenario A was input into the model framework and we selected a clip that records passengers' walking behavior in a time-headway within 250 seconds to validate model results. Utilizing the CV-based flow volume counting method, we obtained the flow volume in every 5-second as shown in Figure 13. The ground truth of the flow volume in every 5-second was counted manually and the error between ground truth and model results was calculated as shown in Figure 4.5. The total number of passengers from ground truth is 200, while total number counted by the proposed method is 190. Therefore, the counting accuracy of the proposed CV-based people counting method is 95%. We analyzed the negative error, and they are mainly caused by occlusion in a high density crowd.

We recorded individual-based crowd density and walking speed of each frame and validated the results by the fundamental diagram of density-speed. We reorganized the data by averaging walking speed with crowd density interval of 0.01 people/m<sup>2</sup> to reduce noise. The CV-based model results are shown in Figure 4.6 as well as the benchmark survey results of Older (1968) and Mōri and Tsukaguchi (1987). Older surveyed the crowd density and walking speed at an open boundary shopping street in Slough, England; Mōri and Tsukaguchi conducted the survey at open boundary footpaths in Osaka, Japan. From the figure, the relationship between crowd density and walking speed from the CV-based model result is consistent with the benchmark datasets. When crowd density is less than 3 people/m<sup>2</sup>, the model result is consistent with Older's survey result and when crowd density is larger than 3 people/m<sup>2</sup>, the model result is consistent with Mori and Tsukaguchi's survey result. As the benchmark datasets, the scenarios are different from the studied scenario, so they only get the same values on some sections. But this result validates that our model performs well for crowd density and walking speed calculation.



Figure 4.5. Validation Results for People Counting by the Proposed Model Framework



Figure 4.6. Fundamental Diagram of Stair Scenario

We used a new video record from Scenario B as the model framework input and selected a clip that records passengers' walking behavior in a time-headway within 180 seconds to validate model results. The CV-based flow volume counting results as well as the flow volume ground truth in every 5-second are shown in Figure 4.7. The number of people passing through the "virtual gate" counted by the model framework is 191, while the ground truth is 187. The accuracy is 97.87%, which is higher than the accuracy of the stair scenario because the crowd densities in this scenario are smaller than the ones of the stair scenario.

Results of individual-based crowd density and walking speed are validated by the fundamental diagram of density-speed. We reorganized the data by averaging walking speed with crowd density interval of 0.01 people/m<sup>2</sup> to reduce noise. The CV-based model results are shown in Figure 4.8 as well as the benchmark survey results of Older (1968) and Mōri and Tsukaguchi (1987). From the figure, the amplitudes are different from each other for three datasets. This is primarily due to the natural variation caused by different countries, scenarios, and walking habits in the datasets. However, the relationship between crowd density and walking speed from the CV-based model result is consistent with those from the benchmark datasets, which indicates walking speed decreases as crowd density increases. This result shows the proposed model framework also works well for crowd density and walking speed calculation in passage scenario. Above all, our model framework performs well for crowd dynamic metrics calculation in multiple scenarios.



Figure 4.7. Counting Results of New Video Data from Camera in Transfer Passage



Figure 4.8. Fundamental Diagram of Passage Scenario

## 5. Application and Discussions

In this study we developed practical applications including automatic passenger counting, crowd safety monitoring, and social distancing monitoring for COVID-19.

#### 5.1. Automatic Passenger Counting

Passenger counting is the application of flow volume in public transit system. In most metro systems, ticket records only provide origin and destination (OD) information to transit providers. However, there are several potential paths between an OD pair in a large metro network, and several different operation companies may provide service in the network. Under this situation, clearing and settling of ticket fares is a crucial issue for the joint operation companies. The main solution in practice is estimating path choice of passengers based on individual attributes and travel cost of each path. However, the solution needs a large amount data to tune the model parameters and network extension will impact the model performance.

CV-based flow volume counting method proposed in this study provide another promising solution for transferring passenger counting. The validation results show the counting accuracy of 95% - 98% and it also records the transferring direction (e.g., Line A to Line B and Line B to Line A). Furthermore, the real-time display function is developed for monitoring, as shown in Figure 5.1.



Figure 5.1. Transferring Passenger Counting

### 5.2. Level Of Service (LOS) Evaluation

Level of service (LOS) is an important metric of performance in analysis of existing pedestrian facility conditions. Evaluating LOS of facilities contributes to identify any potential problems at an early stage (Cepolina et al., 2018). Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) provided a

guidance of LOS criteria for walkway based on crowd density in six levels (A-F) (Rouphail et al., 1998), as shown in Table 4.

|                                  |               |                             | 1998)              |                                     |                   |                    |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| LOS                              | А             | В                           | С                  | D                                   | E                 | F                  |
| Density(peo ple/m <sup>2</sup> ) | ≤0<br>.18     | 0.18-<br>0.27               | 0.2<br>7-0.45      | 0.45-<br>0.71                       | 0.71-<br>1.33     | ≥1.33              |
| Status                           | Fr<br>ee flow | Reaso<br>nably free<br>flow | Sta<br>ble<br>flow | Approa<br>ching<br>unstable<br>flow | Unst<br>able flow | breakd<br>own flow |

 Table 5.1. Recommended HCM walkway Level of Service (LOS) criteria (Rouphail et al., 1008)

Based on the criteria, we can evaluate the LOS of the walking facilities in this study. For the walking facility in Scenario 1, the average crowd density is  $0.574 \text{ ped/m}^2$  and the LOS is D which means passengers walk in crowded surroundings. For the walking facility in Scenario 2, the average crowd density is  $0.228 \text{ ped/m}^2$  and the LOS is B which means passengers can walk freely as they desired, and the facility can service more people. Furthermore, we can use LOS to evaluate the effectiveness of infrastructure improvement quantificationally.

#### 5.3. Social Distancing Monitoring

The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted people's travel behaviors and public transit operations. Many cities are developing solutions to maintain social distancing in public spaces for a phased reopening, especially for passengers in transit stations. Considering the dynamic position tracking technique in this study, the proposed model framework can be utilized to monitor the social distancing in transit stations.

We set an individual safety area for each tracked person based on their tracked positions and set the half-length of social distance (for example, 6 feet in the U.S.) as the radius of the safety area. The safety area is displayed by different colors with the distance to the tracking position to show the emergency levels, as shown in Figure 5.2. We choose blue (0, 0, 255), green (0, 255, 0), yellow (255, 255, 0) and red (255, 0, 0) to indicate 1, 2/3, 1/3, 0 of the half-length of social distance, and the color of other distance is gotten by interpolation. If the safety areas of passengers are separated, it means they keep the social distancing rules, as shown in the left of Figure 5.2. While, if the safety areas integrate with each other, it means these passengers don't keep the social distancing rules, as shown in the right of Figure 5.2. Green color integration means that their distance is about 50% of social distance (3 feet) (e.g., passenger 69 and 50); red color integration means that their distance is about 10% of social distance (0.6 feet) (e.g. passenger 65 and 50).



(a) keeping social distancing

(b) violating social distancing

Figure 5.2. Social Distancing Monitoring

## 6. Conclusions

This study aims to formulate a generalized CV-based crowd analytics model framework. We focus on solving three challenges to achieve this goal: (1) acquiring dynamic information from the video data, (2) projecting distorted images to the real-world reference system, (3) calculating essential metrics from trajectory information. We implemented pedestrian detection and tracking with head features to solve the first challenge. We trained the model with our data and the detection accuracy was 0.80 of precision and 0.75 of recall ratio. The performance provides the model a opportunity for application in practice. For the second challenge, we employ equidistance projection model to calibrate the distorted image frame and convert the image scale to real scale. Based on the calibrated results, we formulate an IO Matching counting method, an individual-based crowd density calculation method based on Voronoi diagram, and a trajectory-based walking speed calculation method to solve the third challenge. Video records from two different scenarios to validate the model framework, and results show high flow volume counting accuracy of 95%-98% and reasonable density-speed fundamental diagrams which are consistent with empirical studies.

Based on the crowd analytics, we also developed several practical functions, including automatic passenger counting, crowd safety monitoring, and social distancing monitoring for COVID-19. Additionally, in future works, we will improve the person tracking model to solve the challenge of lost tracking people fundamentally. Furthermore, more functions, such as trajectory analysis, will also be added in the future.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] (CNHFPC), T.C.N.H.a.F.P.C., 2015. The Nutrition and Health Status of the Chinese People (2015 Report). The Chinese National Health and Family Planning Commission, Beijing.
- [2] Boyle, D.K., 1998. *Passenger counting technologies and procedures*. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
- [3] Cepolina, E.M., Menichini, F., Gonzalez Rojas, P., 2018. Level of service of pedestrian facilities: Modelling human comfort perception in the evaluation of pedestrian behaviour patterns. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour* 58, 365-381.
- [4] Chan, A.B., Zhang-Sheng John, L., Vasconcelos, N., 2008. Privacy preserving crowd monitoring: Counting people without people models or tracking, 2008 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 1-7.
- [5] Girshick, R., 2015. Fast R-CNN, 2015 IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), pp. 1440-1448.
- [6] Girshick, R., Donahue, J., Darrell, T., Malik, J., 2014. Rich Feature Hierarchies for Accurate Object Detection and Semantic Segmentation, 2014 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 580-587.
- [7] Hediyeh, H., Sayed, T., Zaki, M.H., Ismail, K., 2014a. Automated analysis of pedestrian crossing speed behavior at scramble-phase signalized intersections using computer vision techniques. *International journal of sustainable transportation* 8(5), 382-397.
- [8] Hediyeh, H., Sayed, T., Zaki, M.H., Mori, G., 2014b. Pedestrian gait analysis using automated computer vision techniques. *Transportmetrica A: Transport Science* 10(3), 214-232.
- [9] Helbing, D., Brockmann, D., Chadefaux, T., Donnay, K., Blanke, U., Woolley-Meza, O., Moussaid, M., Johansson, A., Krause, J., Schutte, S., Perc, M., 2015. Saving Human Lives: What Complexity Science and Information Systems can Contribute. *Journal of Statistical Physics* 158(3), 735-781.
- [10] Jones, M.J., Snow, D., 2008. Pedestrian detection using boosted features over many frames, 2008 19th International Conference on Pattern Recognition, pp. 1-4.
- [11] Junior, J.C.S.J., Musse, S.R., Jung, C.R., 2010. Crowd Analysis Using Computer Vision Techniques. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine* 27(5), 66-77.
- [12] Kannala, J., Brandt, S.S., 2006. A generic camera model and calibration method for conventional, wide-angle, and fish-eye lenses. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 28(8), 1335-1340.
- [13] Krams, O., Kiryati, N., 2017. People detection in top-view fisheye imaging, 2017 14th IEEE International Conference on Advanced Video and Signal Based Surveillance (AVSS), pp. 1-6.

- [14] Li, J., Wang, L., Tang, S., Zhang, B., Zhang, Y., 2016. Risk-based crowd massing early warning approach for public places: A case study in China. *Safety Science* 89, 114-128.
- [15] Li, M., Zhang, Z., Huang, K., Tan, T., 2009. Rapid and robust human detection and tracking based on omega-shape features, 2009 16th IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), pp. 2545-2548.
- [16] Longley, P.A., Goodchild, M.F., Maguire, D.J., Rhind, D.W., 2005. Geographic information systems and science. John Wiley & Sons.
- [17] Meinel, L., Findeisen, M., He
  ß, M., Apitzsch, A., Hirtz, G., 2014. Automated real-time surveillance for ambient assisted living using an omnidirectional camera, 2014 IEEE International Conference on Consumer Electronics (ICCE), pp. 396-399.
- [18] Mōri, M., Tsukaguchi, H., 1987. A new method for evaluation of level of service in pedestrian facilities. *Transportation Research Part A: General* 21(3), 223-234.
- [19] Older, S.J., 1968. Movement of Pedestrians on Footways in Shopping Streets. Traffic engineering & control.
- [20] Pinna, I., Dalla Chiara, B., Deflorio, F., 2010. Automatic passenger counting and vehicle load monitoring. *Ingegneria Ferroviaria* 65(2), 101-138.
- [21] Puig, L., Bastanlar, Y., Sturm, P., Guerrero, J.J., Barreto, J., 2011. Calibration of Central Catadioptric Cameras Using a DLT-Like Approach. *International Journal of Computer Vision* 93(1), 101-114.
- [22] Punn, N.S., Agarwal, S., 2019. Crowd Analysis for Congestion Control Early Warning System on Foot Over Bridge, 2019 Twelfth International Conference on Contemporary Computing (IC3), pp. 1-6.
- [23] Rabaud, V., Belongie, S., 2006. Counting Crowded Moving Objects, 2006 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR'06), pp. 705-711.
- [24] Redmon, J., Divvala, S., Girshick, R., Farhadi, A., 2016. You only look once: Unified, realtime object detection, *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 779-788.
- [25] Redmon, J., Farhadi, A., 2018. Yolov3: An incremental improvement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.02767*.
- [26] Ren, S., He, K., Girshick, R., Sun, J., 2017. Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 39(6), 1137-1149.
- [27] Reuter, L.G., 2003. Passenger Counting and Service Monitoring: A Worldwide Survey of Transportation Agency Practices, in: New York City Transit, A. (Ed.). New York City Transit Authority, New York.
- [28] Ryan, D., Denman, S., Fookes, C., Sridharan, S., 2009. Crowd Counting Using Multiple Local Features, 2009 Digital Image Computing: Techniques and Applications, pp. 81-88.

- [29] Saleh, S.A.M., Suandi, S.A., Ibrahim, H., 2015. Recent survey on crowd density estimation and counting for visual surveillance. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 41, 103-114.
- [30] Scaramuzza, D., Martinelli, A., Siegwart, R., 2006. A Flexible Technique for Accurate Omnidirectional Camera Calibration and Structure from Motion, *Fourth IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision Systems (ICVS'06)*, pp. 45-45.
- [31] Seidel, R., Apitzsch, A., Hirtz, G., 2018. Improved person detection on omnidirectional images with non-maxima suppression. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.08503*.
- [32] Sheng-Fuu, L., Jaw-Yeh, C., Hung-Xin, C., 2001. Estimation of number of people in crowded scenes using perspective transformation. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics Part A: Systems and Humans* 31(6), 645-654.
- [33] Sheng, B., Shen, C., Lin, G., Li, J., Yang, W., Sun, C., 2018. Crowd Counting via Weighted VLAD on a Dense Attribute Feature Map. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology* 28(8), 1788-1797.
- [34] Sidla, O., Lypetskyy, Y., Brandle, N., Seer, S., 2006. Pedestrian Detection and Tracking for Counting Applications in Crowded Situations, 2006 IEEE International Conference on Video and Signal Based Surveillance, pp. 70-70.
- [35] Sindagi, V.A., Patel, V.M., 2018. A survey of recent advances in CNN-based single image crowd counting and density estimation. *Pattern Recognition Letters* 107, 3-16.
- [36] Song, J., Chen, F., Zhu, Y., Zhang, N., Liu, W., Du, K., 2019. Experiment Calibrated Simulation Modeling of Crowding Forces in High Density Crowd. *IEEE Access* 7, 100162-100173.
- [37] Sørensen, A.Ø., Olsson, N.O.E., Akhtar, M.M., Bull-Berg, H., 2019. Approaches, technologies and importance of analysis of the number of train travellers. *Urban, Planning and Transport Research* 7(1), 1-18.
- [38] Sultan, D., Khan, S., 2013. Estimating Speeds and Directions of Pedestrians in Real-Time Videos: A solution to Road-Safety Problem, in: Bandini, S., Aiello, L.C., Vizzari, G. (Eds.), AgeingAI 2013 The Challenge of Ageing Society: Technological Roles and Opportunities for Artificial Intelligence, Turin, Italy.
- [39] Tai, Y., Hsieh, Y., Chuang, J., 2018. A Fully Automatic Approach for Fisheye Camera Calibration, 2018 IEEE Visual Communications and Image Processing (VCIP), pp. 1-4.
- [40] Tamura, M., Horiguchi, S., Murakami, T., 2019. Omnidirectional Pedestrian Detection by Rotation Invariant Training, 2019 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV), pp. 1989-1998.
- [41] Wang, C., Zhang, H., Yang, L., Liu, S., Cao, X., 2015. Deep People Counting in Extremely Dense Crowds, *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM international conference on Multimedia*. Association for Computing Machinery, Brisbane, Australia, pp. 1299–1302.

- [42] Wang, T., Hsieh, Y., Wong, F., Chen, Y., 2019. Mask-RCNN Based People Detection Using A Top-View Fisheye Camera, 2019 International Conference on Technologies and Applications of Artificial Intelligence (TAAI), pp. 1-4.
- [43] Wojke, N., Bewley, A., Paulus, D., 2017. Simple online and realtime tracking with a deep association metric, 2017 IEEE international conference on image processing (ICIP). IEEE, pp. 3645-3649.
- [44] Ye, J., Chen, X., Yang, C., Wu, J., 2008. Walking Behavior and Pedestrian Flow Characteristics for Different Types of Walking Facilities. *Transportation Research Record* 2048(1), 43-51.
- [45] Zhao, T., Nevatia, R., Wu, B., 2008. Segmentation and Tracking of Multiple Humans in Crowded Environments. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 30(7), 1198-1211.
- [46] Zhao, Z.-Q., Zheng, P., Xu, S.-t., Wu, X., 2019. Object detection with deep learning: A review. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems* 30(11), 3212-3232.